Senate to Probe ABM Effect on tions, indicating what he acthe future years if the ini-

By Warren Unna Washington Post Staff Writer

Members of the Senate Foreign Relations Commit- Subcommittee hearing yestee reacted to President Nixon's new budget yesterday by announcing an investigation into its provisions for the budget. open-end anti-ballistic missile program. The senaquestioned how the ABM and the continued development of the multioffensive missile headed called the MIRV might interfere with disarmament talks with the Russians.

Chairman Albert Gore (D-Tenn.) of the Senate Disarmament Subcommittee said the President's request for \$1.5 billion to proceed with the ABM confirmed his suspicions that this year's bitterly fought \$892 million ABM outlay was "just a nose under camel's tent."

Senate Minority Whip Robert P. Griffin (R-Mich.) also was hesitant about the budget's ABM request. "I am inclined to give the President the benefit of the doubt, but I am also waiting for the justification," he

Overall, however, Griffin said he was "gratified" to find the President had "kept his pledge for a balanced reordered budget" and defense from priorities to human respending sources.

Griffin said that only 37 per cent of the new budget was for defense, while 41 per cent would be for human resources. In 1961, he recalled, it was 48 per cent for defense and only 30 per cent for human resources.

However, Senate Majority Leader Mike Mansfield (D-Mont.) said the President, while requesting less defense money than he had last year, was still asking more (\$71.1 billion) than Congress had appropriated hearings," Gore said. (\$70.8 billion). In foreign military grant aid, Mans- N.J.), the subcommittee's field said, the new budget ranking minority member, than the \$350 million limit the Congress set for the current fiscal year.

"contains 'seed' manage of the least disparity be disparity be of the least of the

tial commitment is made this year."

The Senate Disarmament terday appeared to be the kick-off for the real opposition to the military side of

The hearing was a scheduled closed-door briefing from Gerard Smith, director of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, administration's the plans for the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks (SALT) with the Russians in Vienna this April.

But since Gore's subcommittee includes some of the most outspoken opponents of the ABM, questioning im-mediately centered on the President's Friday night announcement, that he would so ahead with ABM deployment and his budget request for more money to make this possible.

Gore would say only that Smith had been "very helpful, very candid" behind the closed doors. But, on his own, the senator warned that the push ahead with ABM and the MIRV deployment "could not possibly be helpful" in stemming an armaments race with the Russians.

Tennessee senator The then announced that Smith's appearance meant the start of a new series of hearings which will include testi-mony by CIA Director Richard Helms, Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird, Secretary of State William P. Rogers and "particularly," Pentagon research and deofficials convelopment cerned with the ABM's workability.

"It is a subject of such great moment, fraught with such grave costs and dangers, that it is necessary for the legislative branch to be fully informed and the American people fully involved with later public

Sen. Clifford Case (Rseeks \$104 million more stressed that the probe would be "nonpartisan."

In the House, Majority Leader Carl Albert (D-Okla.) Mansfield warned that the said that the fine print in new budget the overall Nixon budget re-

recommended appropria-

tually plans to spend for various environment programs."

Rep. Chet Holifield (D-Calif.) said that although the President recently announced a \$10 billion clean water program, his new budget seeks only \$330 million to fight both air and water pollution. Last year, Holifield recalled, the President requested "only" \$214 million for these programs and refused to use the almost \$600 million more Congress gave him to spend. The White House recently said all the money would be spent.

Rep. Charles A. Vanik (D-Ohio) said the budget's cutback of water pollution research funds from this year's \$50.7 million to Fiscal 1971's \$44.6 million "indieates more puff than performance."

Minority House But Leader Gerald R. Ford (R-Mich.) and Rep. Frank T. Bow (R-Ohio) applauded the budget which promises a \$1.3 billion surplus without having to resort to new or higher taxes.

Sen. Charles H. Percy (R-Ill.) termed the surplus "absolutely crucial" to combating inflation. He cautioned his colleagues not to weigh budget with the down amendments for additional expenditures.

Percy said he would follow a policy of not introducing any additional appropriation measures unless he twinned this with either an amendment for cutting back on some other measures or an amendment for establishing some new source of rev-

"I would not hesitate to extend the surtax if that were the only alternative," the Illinois senator said of the measure which is due to expire June. 30.

Canada 'Unhappy' Over ABM Go-Ahead

Special to The Washington Post

OTTAWA, Feb. 2-The Canadian government is "rather unhappy" that the United States is facing China with antiballistic missiles rather than with an offer of diplomatic recognition, Prime Minister

many (military) systems that the cold hard figures as to on President Nixon's proposal

to proceed with the second stage of the Safeguard ABM system to protect U.S. targets from possible attack from long-range nuclear missiles.

Trudeau admitted under questioning that there has been no evidence that the ABM program has escalated the arms race. However, he added, "We feel that our approach to the People's Republic of China, and the negotiations going on in Stockholm, are certainly a better way in the long run to protect the world from war than in a continuance of the arms race."

(Canadian and Chinese diplomats in Stockholm have been negotiating on mutual recognition and exchange of missions since last February.)

"The Canadian government is rather unhappy that a friend and ally of such importance should be seeing its way to peace in this direction (ABMs) rather than in the President for presenting a direction I have just suggested." Trudeau sald.

Soviet Buildup Causes Uncertainty

ndecided on S

By Chalmers M. Roberts Washington Post Staff Writer

The Nixon administration, now beginning the task of working up proposals for the strategic arms talks which resume in Vienna on April 16, is beset by uncertainty about the rapid buildup of Soviet missilry.

the United States should propose as an agreement to curb the arms race. No firm decision is expected until Security Council table and President Nixon makes the decision.

proposals that the United close to 300 of the SS-9s and compared to States offer a ban on fur-it is estimated the total States 1054. fering proposals.

able to views of the other with re- is the core.

Soviet Union is building so can crisis confrontation, he many mammoth SS-9 missiles. Herein lies the crux of the Droblem new facing the retailstory blow the problem now facing the retaliatory blow.

with a single warhead packing a nuclear punch of between 12 and 25 megatons. But in August, 1968, tests of a multiple warhead based in Western Europe attacks by either the Soviet and the United States and the United States are linear assumants. As a result, American offi- a multiple warhead began and the United States and Union or the United States cials are unsure about what and American estimates sea-based on carriers, which against the other. partly from watching the could carry nuclear weapons tests—are that each of three to the Soviet Union even if is not yet too late to hold off separate warheads is capa- all the Minutemen were the MIRVing of the ICBMs ble of delivering a punch of knocked out. late March or even early as much as 5 megatons. A 5-April when the issues have megaton blast would be the worry that the Polaris sysbeen sired at the National equivalent of 5 million tons tem sooner or later will lose of 20,000 tons.

Last November, before R. Laird last year raised airplanes to penetrate Sothe American delegation alarms that the SS-9 was a viet defensive systems now went to Helsinki for the ini- first-strike weapon, that is in place. A study of Polaris tial round with the Russians that it might be used in a vulnerability is now under on what are known as the nuclear Pearl Harbor attack way in connection with the SALT talks, Washington on the United States. He SALT talk preparations. tipped off Moscow that no since has somewhat re- It also is noted that the United States proposal treated from this view but Soviet Union's SS-9 program would be tossed on the another and perhaps more seems to be going ahead on table. It was added that likely thesis about its potenthe assumption that its The American move came the Carta government.

after Mr. Nixon overruled deployed or is deploying have some 1200 such ICBMs ther testing of multiple in-would reach around 400 by dependently targeted re-en-fall. In addition, some con- end of the American MIRV try vehicles, known as sider it possible that the Sortest series and the continu-MIRVs. As it turned out, viets will improve the other test series and the continu-both sides refrained from of ICBMs in their inventory, the liberial results (ARM) de-SS-11s and SS-13s, thus sur- tiballistic missile (ABM) de-Instead, each side sought passing the American force, fense systems, has made to learn more of the other's Expected accuracy of these sion if the arms race is to be studied is known as ULMS, thinking about the arms missiles would enable the curbed, it is widely felt in or underwater long-range race. The result, as the Hel- Soviets to destroy the bulk sinki joint statement put it, if not all of the American washington. was that "each side is better land-based ICBMs of which understand the the Minuteman force of 1000 now are trying to construct missile system costing bil-

But there is increasing of TNT. The 1945 Hiroshima what is thought today to be A-bomb was the equivalent its invulnerability beneath the seas. And there are Defense Secretary Melvin skeptics about the ability of

Washington hoped Moscow tial can now be heard within land-based missiles are in-also would refrain. the American government vulnerable to American at-The Soviet Union now has tack. As of today the Soviets compared to the United

These facts, plus the near

for a first strike to destroy on a simple limitation on pulsions will come into play the American ICBM force in the number of ICBMs each in the decision-making here. a surprise attack, but as a side can deploy. This could Others say that the Presi-weapon of diplomatic black- be verified by so-called "na-dent's examination thus far, mail in an international cri-tional means of detection" of the problem has yet to tional means of detection" of the problem has yet to which is an euphemism for center on the cost factor if Any American President, observation satellites. This there is no agreement. it is argued, would feel very limitation would be tied to Some experts argue that uncomfortable, to put it an agreed limitation on rival even if there is an agree-

The standard answer in rent level of development in The SS-9 began to be de-the past has been that the Soviet Union and the played in 1965 as a missile United States also possesses Safeguard system now getwith a classic states are possesses.

> Some here also believe it! opment has passed the point

of no return. To be certain that such multiple warheads were not put on the ICBMs would require on-site inspection in both countries that neither is likely to accept. Indeed, most arms controllers believe that any agreement this year must avoid any on-site inspection.

What is evident is that if: there is to be any possibility of a Soviet-American arms agreement at the SALT talks President Nixon will have to approve the taking of risks. The Administration has sought to identify such risks and to compare them with the risks of letting the

arms race go on unfettered. Some believe that if there is no agreement by summer, or fall at the latest, the President will be faced with great pressure to approve new American weapons systems to counter the growing Soviet ICBM numbers. One proposal being seriously

spect to the problems under. Why Moscow should want posals to be offered at the spect to the problems under. Why Moscow should want posals to be offered at the spect to the problems under. Such a capability is the universal vienna phase of the SALT hoping that Mr. Nixon will but there was no Soviet answered question as of talks, if not initially then take some risks in putting explanation satisfactory to mow. The latest theory is soon thereafter. the Americans of why the that it would be used, not Current thinking centers think that the financial com-

Approved For Refease 2002/03/20: CIA-RDP72-00337R00030040017-4

antinued

Approved For Release 2002/03/20: CIA-RDP72-00337R000300040017-4

based missile system is already obsolescent and soon will be obsolete; thus the United States should go to an all-sea based system plus aircraft. One line of reason ing here is that this would buy time to find new ways to curb the arms race.

At Helsinki, the American negotiating team felt, the Soviet Union accepted the principle of what in the United States is called mutual deterrence; that is, that each nation possess enough nuclear weapons to destroy the other but not enough superiority to risk a first strike without having to fear an equally damaging retaliatory strike.

Ever since the Cuban missile crisis of 1962 the Kremlin has worked at closing its missile gap with the United States. President Nixon has recognized this by accepting the thesis that "sufficiency" in such weapons is enough for the United States, that "superiority" is not neces-

In the apple and oranges equation of nuclear capabilities the two nations are now roughly at parity. Where the Kremlin wants to go is unknown here. Where the United States will go is likely to be determined by Mr. Nixon in the decisions he makes before the SALT talks resume on April 16.